CI-FSS-151-S ADDITIONAL EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD TO SUCCESSFUL FSS PROGRAM CONTRACTORS (OCT 2015)

(a) The Government will consider award to a responsible offeror, whose offer conforms to all solicitation requirements, is determined technically acceptable, has acceptable past performance, and whose prices are determined fair and reasonable.

(1) Section I - Administrative/Contract Data:

Section I - Administrative/Contract Data will be evaluated for successful completion of all requirements outlined in provision SCP-FSS-001-S *Instructions Applicable to Successful FSS Program Contractors* and, as applicable, provisions SCP-FSS-002 Specific Proposal Instructions for Services, SCP-FSS-003 Specific Proposal Instructions for Products, SCP-FSS-004 Specific Proposal Instructions for Schedule 70, SCP-FSS-005 Special Proposal Instructions for Products and Services for Schedule 751, SCP-FSS-006 Special Proposal Instructions for Products and Services for Schedule 23V, and SCP-FSS-008 Specific Proposal Instructions for Schedule 00CORP.

(2) Section II - Technical Proposal:

(i.) Section II - Technical Proposal will be reviewed, evaluated and rated acceptable or unacceptable based on the two technical evaluation factors described in provision SCP-FSS-001-S and, as applicable, provisions SCP-FSS-002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 008 of the solicitation document. Award will be made on a SIN-by-SIN basis. A rating of "unacceptable" under any evaluation factor will result in an "unacceptable" rating overall for that SIN. Offers determined technically unacceptable for all proposed SINs will be rejected.

(ii.) Quality Control: Failure to provide the required information as described in Quality Control under provision SCP-FSS-001-S may result in an "unacceptable" rating for the Technical Proposal.

(iii.) Past Performance: The contracting officer will use the results of a search of known past performance information regarding the existing FSS contract, including but not limited to information in PPIRS along with any relevant past performance information provided by the offeror, to determine the past performance rating of the offeror. The Government reserves the right to consider any other pertinent information that comes to the attention of the Government regarding the offeror's past performance. Those offerors demonstrating a pattern of satisfactory performance will receive an acceptable rating.

(iv.) Technical proposals that are unrealistic in terms of technical commitment, lack technical competence, or are indicative of failure to comprehend the complexities and risks of solicitation requirements will be rejected.

(3) Section III - Price Proposal:

(i.) Section III - Price Proposal will be evaluated for successful completion of all requirements outlined in provision SCP-FSS-001-S and, as applicable, provisions SCP-FSS-002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 008 of the solicitation document. In order for the Section III - Pricing Proposal to be rated acceptable, the contracting officer must determine that the proposed pricing is fair, reasonable, and supportable, based on the submission of sufficient pricing information as outlined in provision SCP-FSS-001-S and, as applicable, provisions SCP-FSS-002, 003, 004, 005, 006, and 008.

(ii.) The proposed pricing must be advantageous to the Government. If a price offered to GSA is not equal to or better than the price offered to the offeror's designated Most Favored Customer, the offeror must explain the rationale for proposing such a price in a manner sufficient to enable the contracting officer to determine that the rate is fair and reasonable. If the rates offered are not "equal to or lower than" the MFC, an acceptable justification must be provided.

(b) The Government reserves the right to award or reject without discussions. Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the best terms from a price and technical standpoint.